

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/917,805	07/31/2001	Sten Stymne	STYMNE=1A	1400
1444 73	590 10/04/2004		EXAMINER	
BROWDY A	ND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.	MCELWAIN, ELIZABETH F		
624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1638	
			DATE MAILED, 10/04/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/917,805	STYMNE ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Elizabeth F. McElwain	1638			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	ly 2004.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-10 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original sheet and t	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1638

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claim 1, drawn to a DNA sequence of SEQ ID NO: 13, classified in class 536, subclass 236, for example.
  - II. Claim 1, drawn to a DNA sequence of SEQ ID NO: 11, classified in class 536, subclass 236, for example.
  - III. Claim 1, drawn to a DNA sequence of SEQ ID NO: 12, classified in class 536, subclass 236, for example.
  - IV. Claims 2-9, drawn to a method of accumulating uncommon fatty acids in the triacylglycerols of oil seeds that are transformed with SEQ ID NO: 13, classified in class 800, subclass 281.
  - V. Claims 2-9, drawn to a method of accumulating uncommon fatty acids in the triacylglycerols of oil seeds that are transformed with SEQ ID NO: 11, classified in class 800, subclass 281.
  - VI. Claims 2-9, drawn to a method of accumulating uncommon fatty acids in the triacylglycerols of oil seeds that are transformed with SEQ ID NO: 12, classified in class 800, subclass 281.
  - VII. Claims 2-9, drawn to a method of accumulating uncommon fatty acids in the triacylglycerols of yeasts and molds transformed with SEQ ID NO: 13, classified in class 435, subclass 254.1, for example.

Art Unit: 1638

- VIII. Claims 2-9, drawn to a method of accumulating uncommon fatty acids in the triacylglycerols of yeasts and molds transformed with SEQ ID NO: 11, classified in class 435, subclass 254.1, for example.
- IX. Claims 2-9, drawn to a method of accumulating uncommon fatty acids in the triacylglycerols of yeasts and molds transformed with SEQ ID NO: 12, classified in class 435, subclass 254.1, for example.
- X. Claim 10, drawn to oils, classified in class 554, subclass 8, for example.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:
- 3. Inventions I-III are each unrelated one to each of the others. Inventions IV-VI are each unrelated one to each of the others. Inventions VII-IX are each unrelated one to each of the others. In addition, Invention I is also unrelated to each of Inventions V, VI, VIII and IX; and Invention II is also unrelated to each of Inventions IV, VI, VII and IX; and Invention III is unrelated to each of Inventions IV, V, VII and VIII. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions of each of these three groups are unrelated in that they are each drawn to a distinct nucleic acid and method of using said nucleic acid.

Applicants are reminded that nucleotide sequences encoding different proteins are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute **independent and distinct** inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequence is presumed to

Art Unit: 1638

represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to a restriction requirement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 CFR 1.141 et seq.

- 4. Inventions I-III and X are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions of I-III are each drawn to distinct nucleic acids, as stated above; and Group X is drawn to oil, which is a distinct product which differs biochemically, structurally and functionally from any of the nucleic acids of Groups I-III, and one is not required by any of the others.
- 5. Inventions I and Inventions IV and VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the nucleic acid of Group I can be used in a materially different process from the transformation of plant seeds or of yeast or molds, such as for expression of the protein in a bacterial culture or for use in hybridization.
- 6. Inventions II and Inventions V and VIII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the nucleic acid of Group I can be used in a

Art Unit: 1638

materially different process from the transformation of plant seeds or of yeast or molds, such as for expression of the protein in a bacterial culture or for use in hybridization.

- 7. Inventions III and Inventions VI and IX are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the nucleic acid of Group I can be used in a materially different process from the transformation of plant seeds or of yeast or molds, such as for expression of the protein in a bacterial culture or for use in hybridization.
- 8. Inventions X and IV-IX are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the oil product can be made by a materially different process, such as by chemical synthesis or blending of oils, for example.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and the requirement for different searches, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1638

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

1. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochici, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to

Art Unit: 1638

rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth F. McElwain whose telephone number is (571) 272-0802. The examiner can normally be reached on increased flex time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amy Nelson can be reached on (571) 272-0804. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elizabeth F. McElwain Ph.D. Level Examiner

Art Unit 1638